

1	MELINDA S. RIECHERT, State Bar No. 65504		
2	KATHRYN M. DANCISAK, State Bar No. 259392 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP		
3	2 Palo Alto Square 3000 El Camino Real, Suite 700		
4	Palo Alto, CA 94306-2122 Telephone: 650.843.4000		
5	Facsimile: 650.843.4001 E-mail: mriechert@morganlewis.com;		
6	kdancisak@morganlewis.com		
7	Attorneys for Defendant and Counter Claimant LOCKHEED MARTIN CORPORATION		
8	PHILLIP J. GRIEGO, State Bar No. 76616 RUTGER J. HEYMANN, State Bar No. 212549		
9	PHILLIP J. GRIEGO & ASSOCIATES 95 S. Market Street, Suite 520		
10	San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone: 408.293.6341		
11	Facsimile: 408.293.1959 Email:phil@griegolaw.com;		
12	rheymann@griegolaw.com		
13	JAMES H. SHOEMAKER, JR. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) PATTEN, WORNOM, HATTEN & DIAMONSTEIN, L.C.		
14	12350 Jefferson Avenue, Suite 300 Newport News, VA 23602		
15	Telephone: 757.223.4580 Facsimile: 757.223.4518		
16	Email: Jshoemaker@pwhd.com		
17	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter Defendant RONALD M. NAKAMOTO		
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
20	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
21			
22	RONALD M. NAKAMOTO	Case No. 5:09-CV-05193 JF	
23	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND {PROPOSED}	
24	VS.	ORDER MODIFYING ADR DEADLINE	
25	LOCKHEED MARTIN CORPORATION,	Complaint filed: November 3, 2009 Trial date: Not set	
26	Defendant.		
27			
28			

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW PALO ALTO

1	Plaintiff and Counter Defendant Ronald M. Nakamoto ("Plaintiff" or "Nakamoto") and		
2	Defendant and Counter Claimant Lockheed Martin Corporation ("Defendant" or "Lockheed"),		
3	the parties to the above-entitled action (collectively referred to herein as the "Parties"), by and		
4	through their undersigned counsel, jointly submit this Stipulation to the Court:		
5	STIPULATION		
6	WHEREAS, on May 13, 2010, the Court issued an Order Selecting ADR Process in the		
7	above-entitled action ("Order");		
8	WHEREAS, the Court's Order approved the stipulation between the Parties to participate		
9	in a Court-sponsored mediation;		
10	WHEREAS, according to the Court's Order, the deadline for the Parties to hold a Court-		
11	sponsored mediation is 90 days from the date of the Order, or August 11, 2010;		
12	WHEREAS, the Court heard Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, or in the Alternative,		
13	Motion for Summary Adjudication, and Motion to Strike, on May 28, 2010;		
14	WHEREAS, Defendant filed its Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint and its Counter Claim on		
15	June 22, 2010;		
16	WHEREAS, Plaintiff will file his Answer to Defendant's Counter Claim on July 20,		
17	2010;		
18	WHEREAS, the Parties have not been able to complete initial written discovery and		
19	Defendant has not been able to complete Plaintiff's deposition, and will not have sufficient time		
20	to complete initial written discovery and Plaintiff's deposition before the currently scheduled		
21	deadline to participate in a mediation;		
22	WHEREAS, the Parties agree that it would be beneficial for settlement purposes if the		
23	Parties completed initial written discovery and Plaintiff's deposition prior to participating in a		
4	mediation;		
25	WHEREAS, the Parties have not previously requested any extensions of the deadlines set		
6	forth in the Court's Order;		
7	WHEREAS, for good cause and to promote settlement and avoid prejudice that would		
8	result to both Parties if the deadline to participate in a mediation is not revised, the Parties jointly		
П	STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED)		

DB2/21830124.1

1	request an extension of the deadline to participate in a mediation set forth in the Court's Order;			
2	NOW, THEREFORE, Plaintiff and Defendant, through their undersigned respective			
3	3 counsel, stipulate and request that the Court approve	counsel, stipulate and request that the Court approve the following revised deadline:		
4	4 Last day for the Parties to participate in a me	Last day for the Parties to participate in a mediation: 10/11/2010		
5	To avoid prejudice to both Parties, GOOD CAUSE exists to modify the deadline in this			
6	action as described herein.			
7	7			
8	8			
9	9 Dated: July 23, 2010 MORG	AN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP		
10	10			
11		/s/ inda S. Riechert		
12	12 Kat	hryn M. Dancisak brneys for Defendant and Counter Claimant		
13	13 LOC	CKHEED MARTIN CORPORATION		
14	Dated: July 23, 2010 PATTE	N, WORNOM, HATTEN &		
15	DIAMO	DNSTEIN, L.C.		
16				
17	Lam	/s/ es H. Shoemaker, Jr.		
18 19	RO	orneys for Plaintiff NALD M. NAKAMOTO		
20	19			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26	26			
27	27			
28 IS & P	28			
P Aw		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]		

MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNESS AT LAW
PARO ALTO

Case 5:09-cv-05193-JF Document 37 Filed 08/03/10 Page 4 of 4

1	<u>ORDER</u>	
2	In light of the foregoing STIPULATION of the Parties and good cause appearing, the	
3	Court ORDERS the following revised deadline in this case:	
4	Last day for the Parties to participate in a mediation: 10/11/2010	
5	To avoid prejudice to both Parties, GOOD CAUSE exists to modify the deadline in this	
6	action as described herein.	
7		
8	Dated: 7/29/10	
9	Hon. Jeremy Fogel United States District Court Judge	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 15 &		

MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
PALO ALTO